

Click to verify



Disinfection is a process whereby pathogenic organisms, but not necessarily all microorganisms or spores, are destroyed. Disinfection may be accomplished by physical or chemical means.A number of factors influence the activity of disinfectants such as the following:Types of organisms present: Bacterial spores such as Bacillus spp. are the most resistant, followed by mycobacteria (acid-fast bacilli). Younger cells are usually more readily destroyed than mature organisms.Number of organisms present (microbial load): Time necessary for killing microorganisms increases in direct proportion with the number of organisms (microbial load). This is particularly true of instruments contaminated with organic material such as blood, pus, or mucus.Concentration of disinfectant: Generally, higher concentration of chemical disinfectants has higher killing power. Over a short-range, a small increase in concentration leads to an exponential rise in effectiveness but beyond a certain point, an increase in concentration may not raise the killing rate. Sometimes, an agent is more effective at lower concentrations. For example, 70% ethyl alcohol is more effective as a disinfectant than 95% ethyl alcohol.Amount of organics (blood, mucus, pus) present: The organic material should be mechanically removed before chemical sterilization to decrease the microbial load. This is analogous to removing dried food from utensils before placing them in a dishwasher and is important for cold sterilization of instruments such as bronchoscopes.Length of contact time: More is the exposure time to sterilant/disinfectant, the better is the efficacy.Type of water available (hard vs. soft): Hard water may reduce the rate of killing of microorganisms.Temperature and pH of the process and the media: The rate of killing of chemical agents, the effectiveness of disinfectants, and the higher temperatures for use, are higher, especially in acidic pH.Nature of surface to be disinfected: e.g., potential for corrosion; porous vs. nonporous surface.The most common method of disinfection is boiling at 100°C for 15 minutes kills vegetative bacteria. Pasteurizing at 63°C for 30 minutes or 72°C for 15 seconds kills food pathogens.Using ultraviolet radiation such as ultraviolet (UV) light. UV light has long wavelength and low energy. They do not penetrate well and organisms must have direct surface exposure, such as working surfaces of a biological safety cabinet (BSC), for this form of disinfection to work.When chemicals are used to destroy all life forms they are called chemical sterilants or biocides; however, these same chemicals used for shorter periods are disinfectants. Disinfectants are chemicals that kill microorganisms and are used on inanimate objects. Chemicals used on living tissue (skin) are called antiseptics.Chemical disinfectants can be classified into four groups based on their microbicidal activity:Low-level disinfectantsIntermediate-level disinfectantsHigh-level disinfectantsChemical sterilantClassUse Concentration of Active IngredientActivity LevelEthylene oxide (gas)450-500 mg/literHighGlutaraldehyde, aqueous2%High to intermediateFormaldehyde + alcohol8+70%HighStabilized hydrogen peroxide6-30%High to intermediateFormaldehyde, aqueous8-8%High to intermediateIodophors75-50,000 mg/literHigh to intermediateIodophors75-50,000 mg/literIntermediate to lowIodine + alcohol10+5+70%IntermediateChlorine compounds1.0-0.5%IntermediatePhenolic compounds, aqueous0.5-3%Intermediate to lowIodine, aqueous1%IntermediateAlcohols(ethyl, isopropyl)70%IntermediateQuaternary ammonium compounds1.0-0.2%LowChlorhexidine0.3-3%LowMercurial compounds1.0-0.2%LowChemical disinfectants comprise many classes, including the following:Alcohols: They are among the most widely used disinfectants and antiseptics. Ethyl or isopropyl alcohol is non-sporicidal (does not kill spores) and evaporates quickly. Alcohols are best used on the skin as an antiseptic (surgical spirit). Clinical thermometers and small instruments can be disinfected by soaking in isopropyl alcohol for 10–15 minutes at room temperature. Formaldehyde, glutaraldehyde, and other phenolics are the most common use. They are sporicidal and can be used as chemical sterilants. Formaldehyde (formalin, i.e. formaldehyde in water) is used to preserve anatomical specimens and for fumigation of heat-labile objects. They are generally not used as surface disinfectants because of their irritating fumes.Halogens: Halogens, especially chlorine and iodine, are frequently used as disinfectants as they possess antimicrobial activity. They exist in a free state and form a salt with sodium and most other metals.Chlorine: It is the most commonly available disinfectant. Chlorine is most often used in the form of sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl), the compound known as household bleach. CDC recommends that tabletops be cleaned following blood spills with a 1:10 dilution of bleach. It is also used for municipal water supplies, swimming pools, dairy and food industries, etc.Iodine: Iodine compounds are widely employed antiseptics. Iodine is prepared either as tincture with alcohol or as an iodophor coupled with a neutral polymer, for example, povidone-iodine. 70% ethyl alcohol, followed by an iodophor, is the most common compound used for skin disinfection before drawing blood cultures or surgery. Heavy metals: Salts of heavy metals, such as mercury, silver, zinc, and copper were widely used in the past as germicides but their use has been replaced by less toxic chemicals over the period. Eyedrop solution containing 1% silver nitrate is still instilled in the eyes of newborns to prevent ophthalmia neonatorum (an infection with Neisseria gonorrhoeae). Heavy metals containing mercury are no longer recommended because mercury is toxic to the environment. Quaternary ammonium compounds (Quats): Quaternary ammonium compounds such as benzalkonium chloride, are used to disinfect bench-tops or other surfaces in the laboratory. However, organic materials, such as blood, may inactivate heavy metals or quaternary ammonium compounds, thus limiting their utility.Phenolics: Phenolics, such as the common laboratory disinfectant amphy, are derivatives of carboxic acid (phenol). The addition of detergent results in a product that cleans and disinfects at the same time, and at a concentration between 2%–5% these products are widely used for cleaning benches,References and further readingsMadigan, T. Michael, T. Bendley, S. Buckley, Daniel H. Sattley, W. Matthew, David A. (2018). Brock Biology of Microorganisms (15th Edition). Sunderland, Massachusetts: Sinauer Associates, Inc. ISBN 978-0-13-035311-9. Bailey & Scott's Diagnostic Microbiology (14 edition). Mosby,Wiley. Joanne M. Sherwood, Linda M. & Woolverton, Christopher J. (2016). Prescott's Microbiology (10 edition). McGraw-Hill Education.link to Martin Lewis Agar: Principle, Composition, and Use;link to Hematopoiesis: Stages, Sites, and Its Regulation Antimicrobial agent that inactivates or destroys microbes "Sanitizers" redirects here. For the software, see InsectSanitizer. This article is about antimicrobial agents. For the Macintosh anti-virus software, see Disinfectant (software). Disinfection of a floor using disinfectant liquid applied using a mop. Levels of resistance of microbes to disinfectants. A disinfectant is a chemical substance or compound used to inactivate or destroy microorganisms on inert surfaces.[1] Disinfection does not necessarily kill all microorganisms, especially resistant bacterial spores; it is less effective than sterilization, which is an extreme physical or chemical process that kills all types of life.[1] Disinfectants are generally distinguished from other antimicrobial agents such as antibiotics, which destroy microorganisms within the body, and antiseptics, which destroy microorganisms on living tissue. Disinfectants are also different from biocides—the latter are intended to destroy all forms of life, not just microorganisms. Disinfectants work by destroying the cell wall of microbes or interfering with their metabolism. It is also a form of decontamination, and can be defined as the process whereby physical or chemical methods are used to reduce the amount of pathogenic microorganisms on a surface.[2][3] Disinfectants can also be used to destroy microorganisms on the skin and mucous membrane, as in the medical dictionary historically the word simply meant that it destroys microbes.[4][5][6] Sanitizers are substances that simultaneously clean and disinfect.[7] Disinfectants kill more germs than sanitizers.[8] Disinfectants are frequently used in hospitals, dental surgeries, kitchens, and bathrooms to kill infectious organisms. Sanitizers are mild compared to disinfectants. Disinfection kills more viruses and bacteria with a chemical germicide registered as the EPA's [9] An alternative assessment is to measure the Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of disinfectants against selected (and representative) microbial species, such as through the use of microbro dilution testing.[14] However, those methods are obtained at standard inoculum levels without considering the inoculum effect. More informative methods are nowadays in demand to determine the minimum disinfectant dose as a function of the density of the target microbial species.[15] A perfect disinfectant would also offer complete and full microbiological sterilisation, without harming humans and useful form of life, be inexpensive, and noncorrosive. However, most disinfectants are also, by nature, potentially harmful (even toxic) to humans or animals. Most modern household disinfectants contain denatonium, an exceptionally bitter substance added to discourage ingestion, as a safety measure. Those that are used indoors should never be mixed with other cleaning products as chemical reactions can occur.[16] The choice of disinfectant to be used depends on the particular situation. Some disinfectants have a wide spectrum (kill many different types of microorganisms), while others kill a smaller range of disease-causing organisms but are preferred for other properties (they may be non-corrosive, non-toxic, or inexpensive).[17] There are arguments for creating or maintaining conditions that are not conducive to bacterial survival and multiplication, rather than attempting to kill them with chemicals. Bacteria can increase in number very quickly, which enables them to evolve rapidly. Should some bacteria survive a chemical attack, they give rise to new generations composed completely of bacteria that have resistance to the particular chemical used. Under a sustained chemical attack, the surviving bacteria in successive generations are increasingly resistant to the chemical used, and ultimately the chemical is rendered ineffective. For this reason, some question the wisdom of using disinfectants in the home with bactericidal chemicals.[citation needed] See also: Air sanitizer Air disinfectants are typically chemical substances capable of disinfecting microorganisms suspended in the air. Disinfectants are generally assumed to be limited to use on surfaces, but that is not the case. In 1928, a study found that airborne microorganisms could be killed using mists of dilute bleach.[18] An air disinfectant must be dispersed either as an aerosol or vapour at a sufficient concentration in the air to cause the number of viable infectious microorganisms to be significantly reduced.[citation needed] In the 1940s and early 1950s, further studies showed inactivation of diverse bacteria, influenza virus, and Penicillium chrysogenum (previously P. notatum) mold fungus using various glycols, principally propylene glycol and triethylene glycol.[19] In principle, these chemical substances are ideal air disinfectants because they have both high lethality to microorganisms and low mammalian toxicity.[20][21] Although glycols are effective air disinfectants in controlled laboratory environments, it is more difficult to use them effectively in real-world environments because the disinfection of air is sensitive to continuous action. Continuous action in real-world environments with outside air exchanges at door, HVAC, and window interfaces, and in the presence of materials that absorb and remove glycols from the air, poses engineering challenges that are not critical for surface disinfection. The engineering challenge associated with creating a sufficient concentration of the glycol vapours in the air have not to date been sufficiently addressed.[22][23] See also: Hand sanitizer Alcohol hand sanitizer dispenser in an office in Poland Alcohol and alcohol plus Quaternary ammonium cation based compounds comprise a class of proven surface sanitizers and disinfectants approved by the EPA and the Centers for Disease Control for use as a hospital grade disinfectant.[24] Alcohols are most effective when combined with distilled water to facilitate diffusion through the cell membrane; 100% alcohol typically denatures only external membrane proteins.[25] A mixture of 70% ethanol or isopropanol diluted in water is effective against a wide spectrum of bacteria, though higher concentrations are often needed to disinfect wet surfaces.[26] Additionally, high-concentration mixtures (such as 80% ethanol + 2% isopropanol) are particularly effective against lipid-enveloped viruses (such as HIV, hepatitis A and hepatitis B) and non-enveloped viruses (such as poliovirus and rotavirus) which are highly resistant to alcohol. The efficacy of alcohol is enhanced when in solution with the wetting agent povidone-10 (coconut soap). 7% syntropic effect of 29.4% ethanol with dodecyl acid is effective against a broad spectrum of bacteria, fungi, and viruses. Further testing is being performed against Clostridium difficile (C.DIFF) spores with higher concentration of ethanol and glycol mixtures, which proved effective with a contact time of 185 minutes.[29] Aldehydes, such as formaldehyde and glutaraldehyde, have a wide microbicidal activity and are sporicidal and fungicidal. They are particularly effective by organic matter and have slight residual activity.[citation needed] Some bacteria have developed resistance to glutaraldehyde, and it has been found that glutaraldehyde can cause asthma and other health hazards, hence ortho-phthalaldehyde is replacing glutaraldehyde.[citation needed] Oxidizing agents act by oxidizing the cell membrane of microorganisms, which results in a loss of structure and leads to cell lysis and death. A large number of disinfectants operate in this way. Chlorine and oxygen are strong oxidizers, so their compounds figure heavily here. Electrolyzed water or "Anolyte" is an oxidizing, acidic hypochlorite solution made by electrolysis of sodium chloride into sodium hypochlorite and hypochlorous acid. Anolyte has an oxidation-reduction potential of +600 to +1200 mV and a typical pH range of 3.5–8.5, but the most potent solution is produced at a controlled pH 5.0–6.3 where the predominant oxychlorine species is hypochlorous acid. Hydrogen peroxide is used in hospitals to disinfect surfaces and it is used in solution alone or in combination with other chemicals as a high level disinfectant. Hydrogen peroxide is sometimes mixed with colloidal silver. It is often preferred because it causes far fewer allergic reactions than alternative disinfectants. Also used in the food packaging industry to disinfect foil containers. A 3% solution is also used as an antiseptic. Hydrogen peroxide vapor is used as a chemical sterilant and as room disinfectant. Hydrogen peroxide has the advantage that it decomposes to form oxygen and water thus leaving no long term residues, but hydrogen peroxide vapor is also used as a fumigant in the food industry. Potassium permanganate (KMnO4) is a purplish-black crystalline powder that colours everything it touches, through a strong oxidising action. This includes staining "stainless" steel, which somewhat limits its use and makes it necessary to use plastic or glass containers. It is used to disinfect manual labor and automated machinery.[50] However, the use of automated machinery does not dismiss any direct contact with the chemicals within the production of disinfectants.[50][51] Chemicals used in disinfectants vary in forms, such as gel, liquid, and powder.[52][53] Minimal information remains about the health and safety of workers in tropical countries, as well as to disinfect the mouth before pulling out teeth. It can be applied to wounds dilute urine. Peroxyacetic acids and inorganic peroxy acids are strong oxidants and extremely effective disinfectants.[citation needed] Peroxyformic acid Peracetic acid Peroxypropionic acid Monoperoxyglutaric acid Monoperoxysuccinic acid Peroxybenzoic acid Peroxyxanic acid Chloroperbenzoic acid Monoperoxyphthalic acid Phenolics are active ingredients in some household disinfectants. They are also found in some mouthwashes and in disinfectant soap and handwashes. Phenols are toxic to cats[33] and newborn humans[34] Phenol is probably the oldest known disinfectant as it was first used by Lister, when it was called carbolic acid. It is rather corrosive to the skin and sometimes toxic to sensitive people. Impure preparations of phenol were originally made from coal tar, and these contained low concentrations of other aromatic hydrocarbons including benzene, which is an IARC group 1 carcinogen. o-Phenylphenol is often used instead of phenol, since it is somewhat less corrosive. Chloroxylenol is the principal ingredient in Dettol, a household disinfectant and antiseptic. Hexachlorophene is a phenolic that was once used as a germicidal additive to some household products but was banned due to suspected harmful effects. Thymol, derived from the herb thyme, is the active ingredient in some "broad spectrum" disinfectants that often bear ecological claims. It is used as a stabilizer in pharmaceutical preparations. It has been used for its antiseptic, antibacterial, and antifungal actions, and was formerly used as a vermifuge.[35] Amylmetacresol is found in Strepsils, a throat disinfectant. Although not a phenol, 2,4-dichlorobenzyl alcohol has similar effects as phenols, but it cannot inactivate viruses. Quaternary ammonium compounds ("quats"), such as benzalkonium chloride, are a large group of related compounds. Some concentrated formulations have been shown to be effective low-level disinfectants. Quaternary ammonia at or above 0.1% is effective against Gram-negative bacteria, Gram-positive bacteria, fungi, and viruses, but not spores. They are used as disinfectants in hospitals, in food processing, and in swimming pools. Quats are biocides that also kill algae and are used as an additive in large-scale industrial water systems to minimize undesired biological growth.[citation needed] This group comprises aqueous solution of chlorine, hypochlorite, or hypochlorous acid. Occasionally, chlorine-releasing compounds and their salts are included in this group. Frequently, a concentration of 1 ppm of available chlorine is sufficient to kill bacteria and viruses, spores and mycobacteria requiring higher concentrations. Chlorine has been used for applications, such as the deactivation of pathogens in drinking water, swimming pool water and wastewater, for the disinfection of household areas and for textile bleaching.[36] Sodium hypochlorite Calcium hypochlorite Monochloramine Chloramine-T Trichloroisocyanuric acid Chlorine dioxide Hypochlorous acid Iodine Iodophors Sodium hydroxide Potassium hydroxide Calcium hydroxide Magnesium hydroxide Sulfurous acid Sulfur dioxide phosphoric acid[37] dodecylbenzenesulfonic acid[37] Main article: Oligodynamic effectMost metals, especially those with high atomic weights can inhibit the growth of pathogens by disrupting their metabolism.[citation needed] Thymol Pine oil The biguanide polymer polyaminopropyl biguanide is specifically bactericidal at very low concentrations (10 mg/L). It has a unique method of action: The polymer strands are incorporated into the bacterial cell wall, which disrupts the membrane and reduces its permeability, which has a lethal effect to bacteria. It is also known to bind to bacterial DNA, alter its transcription, and cause lethal DNA damage.[38] It has very low toxicity to higher organisms such as human cells, which have more complex and protective membranes. Common sodium bicarbonate (NaHCO3) has antifungal properties.[39] and some antiviral and antibacterial properties.[40] though those are too weak to be effective at a home environment.[41] Ultraviolet germicidal irradiation is the use of high-intensity shortwave ultraviolet light for disinfecting smooth surfaces such as dental tools, but not porous materials such as clothing and paper.[42] The use of ultraviolet light for disinfection is also used in water treatment. Ultraviolet light fixtures are often present in microfluidic devices. Electrostatic spraying Electrostatic sprayers are used to apply disinfectants to hard nonporous surfaces.[48] There are a number of specific disinfectants designed for use with electrostatic sprayers and these are often dissolved in solution or diluted with water. Notable disinfectant sprays that are designed for use with electrostatic sprayers include: Box Disinfectant Solution and Vital Oxide Disinfectant Solution.[citation needed] Individuals who work manufacturing disinfectants have higher exposure to the raw and harsh chemicals used in the production of disinfectants compared to the general population.[49] This is due to the use of disinfectants in the production of disinfectants, the direct contact with the chemicals used in the production of disinfectants, and the use of disinfectants in other sectors of the production and manufacturing process of disinfectants. Inspection is a process of disinfectant manufacturing that only requires human intervention.[50] Many workers in the inspection phase of mass production of disinfectants have reported accidental inhalation of fumes, direct dermal contact, eye irritation, and accidental ingestion of disinfectant substances.[49][53] Studies have shown reports of workers with short-term neurological impairments,[54] dermal hypersensitivity,[5] skin irritation,[5][49] occupational asthma and work-related asthma,[55][56][57] mucus membrane (nasal)[58] and lung irritation,[49] and some types of cancer after direct and consistent contact with disinfectants.[59][30] The chemicals, quaternary ammonium compounds (QACs)[49][53], phenolic compounds,[53] iodophors,[53] glutaraldehyde,[53] alcohols,[53] and chlorine,[53] were most associated with the previous health effects.[52][53][56][30][58][49][5] This evidence of dermal exposure was associated with the misuse or lack of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE).[59][60][61] Cancer has been shown to only occur in consistent exposure, along with the lack of use of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE).[59] Among these numerous health effects, evidence showed that dermal exposure was more hazardous than inhalation.[52][62] These health effects can be minimized with the implementation of guidelines from the CDC, NCP, OSHA, and NIOSH.[52][63][61][62][60][51] There is evidence that exposure to cleaning and disinfectant products can cause acute health effects on healthcare workers.[64] Observed effects include eye irritation and watery eyes,[65][66] headaches,[65] dizziness,[65] throat irritation and wheezing,[65][64][66] skin irritation,[65] and work-related asthma.[67][65][64] Most of these effects are mild and are self-limiting, but some chemicals in cleaning and disinfectants that have been associated with health impacts include chlorine,[64] ammonia,[2][64] ethanolanine,[64] 2-butoxyethanol,[64] quaternary ammonium compounds (QACs),[65][64] and bleach.[67][65][64] The adverse health impacts of disinfectants are still not well studied, which makes it difficult to develop guidelines for their use in health-care settings that take mind of potential effects.[64] There is also little information about how effective and safe alternative cleaning technology, so-called "green cleaning," is.[64] New guidelines would need to maintain high hygiene standards and prevent healthcare-associated infections.[64] Professional and Industrial cleaners, despite being essential in maintaining hygiene and safety are one of the understudied occupational groups. Continuous exposure to cleaning agents containing ethanolanine,[55] chloramine-T,[55] and Quaternary Ammonium Compounds (QACs)[49] was found to cause Occupational Asthma (OA) in cleaners.[55] QAC was also found to be involved in developing antimicrobial resistance. Symptoms reported were dyspnea, cough, and wheezing. Females had more risk of acquiring OA due to higher exposures both at home and work.[49][68] Exposures happen through dermal contact, hand-to-mouth, and inhalation of aerosolized quats. Researchers suggest continuous use of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE), periodic medical examinations, and guidelines on how to handle chemicals.[68] Dermal, respiratory, immune, reproductive, and developmental effects of exposure are investigated but there is a currently limited scope of this study. Other concerns found were its impact on wastewater management, soil, and food especially in dissolved concentrations.[68] In the United States, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and Food and Drug Administration (FDA) regulate QACs depending on their intended purposes. Stricter regulations and policies are warranted for safer use and search for alternatives to limit exposures.[68] Drug resistance Diethylene glycol - a raw material for air sanitation Hand sanitizer Hygiene List of cleaning products Sanitation Standard Operating Procedures Virucide - a b "Division of Oral Health - Infection Control Glossary". Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Archived from the original on 12 April 2016. Retrieved 19 April 2016. "PHENOL - National Library of Medicine". American Journal of Public Health and the Nation's Health. 40 (5 Pt 2): 82–89. doi:10.2105/AJPH.40.5. Pt. 2.82. PMC 1528669. PMID 15418552. Lester W, Kaye S, Robertson OH, Dunstan EW (July 1950). "Factors of Airborne Antifungal Efficacy of Biguanides and Quaternary Ammonium Compounds Against Cleanroom Fungal Isolates". PDA Journal of Pharmaceutical Science and Technology. 66 (3): 236–242. doi:10.5731/pdajpt.2012.00886 (inactive 19 November 2024). PMC 22634589. PMID 240400887. (cite journal) CS1 maint: DOI inactive as of November 2024 (link) Garcia MR, Cabo ML (June 2018). "Optimization of E. coli inactivation by Benzalkonium Chloride Reveals the Importance of Quantifying the Inoculum Effect on Chemical Disinfection". Frontiers in Microbiology. 9: 1259. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2018.01259. PMC 6028699. PMID 29997577. "Common Cleaning Products May Be Dangerous When Mixed" (PDF). New Jersey Department of Health and Senior Services. Archived (PDF) from the original on 23 March 2016. Retrieved 19 April 2016. "Hospital Disinfectants for General Disinfection of Environmental Surfaces" (PDF). New York State Department of Health. Archived from the original (PDF) on 24 September 2015. Retrieved 19 April 2016. Robertson OH, Bigg E, Puck TT, Miller BF (June 1942). "The Bactericidal Action of Propylene Glycol Vapor on Microorganisms Suspended in Air". The Journal of Experimental Medicine. 75 (6): 593–610. CiteSeerX 10.1.1.273.1031. doi:10.1084/jem.75.6.593. PMC 2135271. PMID 19871209. "For a review through 1952 see: Lester W, Dunklin E, Robertson OH (April 1952). "Bactericidal Effects of Propylene and Triethylene Glycol Vapors on Airborne Escherichia coli". Science. 115 (2988): 379–382. Bibcode:1952Sci...115..379L. doi:10.1126/science.115.2988.379. PMID 17770126. "For a review of the toxicity of propylene glycol, see: United States Environmental Protection Agency (September 2006). Reregistration eligibility decision for propylene glycol and dipropylene glycol (Report). EPA 739-R-06-002. "For a review of the toxicity of triethylene glycol, see: United States Environmental Protection Agency (September 2005). Reregistration eligibility decision for triethylene glycol (Report). EPA 739-R-05-002. Langmuir AD (September 1952). "The Use of Ethylene Glycol in the Control of Air-borne Infections". American Journal of Public Health and the Nation's Health. 40 (5 Pt 2): 82–89. doi:10.2105/AJPH.40.5. Pt. 2.82. PMC 1528669. PMID 15418552. Lester W, Kaye S, Robertson OH, Dunstan EW (July 1950). "Factors of Airborne Antifungal Efficacy of Biguanides and Quaternary Ammonium Compounds Against Cleanroom Fungal Isolates". PDA Journal of Pharmaceutical Science and Technology. 66 (3): 236–242. doi:10.5731/pdajpt.2012.00886 (inactive 19 November 2024). PMC 22634589. PMID 240400887. (cite journal) CS1 maint: DOI inactive as of November 2024 (link) Garcia MR, Cabo ML (June 2018). "Optimization of E. coli inactivation by Benzalkonium Chloride Reveals the Importance of Quantifying the Inoculum Effect on Chemical Disinfection". Frontiers in Microbiology. 9: 1259. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2018.01259. PMC 6028699. PMID 29997577. "Common Cleaning Products May Be Dangerous When Mixed" (PDF). New Jersey Department of Health and Senior Services. Archived (PDF) from the original on 23 March 2016. Retrieved 19 April 2016. "Hospital Disinfectants for General Disinfection of Environmental Surfaces" (PDF). New York State Department of Health. Archived from the original (PDF) on 24 September 2015. Retrieved 19 April 2016. Robertson OH, Bigg E, Puck TT, Miller BF (June 1942). "The Bactericidal Action of Propylene Glycol Vapor on Microorganisms Suspended in Air". The Journal of Experimental Medicine. 75 (6): 593–610. CiteSeerX 10.1.1.273.1031. doi:10.1084/jem.75.6.593. PMC 2135271. PMID 19871209. "For a review through 1952 see: Lester W, Dunklin E, Robertson OH (April 1952). "Bactericidal Effects of Propylene and Triethylene Glycol Vapors on Airborne Escherichia coli". Science. 115 (2988): 379–382. Bibcode:1952Sci...115..379L. doi:10.1126/science.115.2988.379. PMID 17770126. "For a review of the toxicity of propylene glycol, see: United States Environmental Protection Agency (September 2006). Reregistration eligibility decision for propylene glycol and dipropylene glycol (Report). EPA 739-R-06-002. "For a review of the toxicity of triethylene glycol, see: United States Environmental Protection Agency (September 2005). Reregistration eligibility decision for triethylene glycol (Report). EPA 739-R-05-002. Langmuir AD (September 1952). "The Use of Ethylene Glycol in the Control of Air-borne Infections". American Journal of Public Health and the Nation's Health. 40 (5 Pt 2): 82–89. doi:10.2105/AJPH.40.5. Pt. 2.82. PMC 1528669. PMID 15418552. Lester W, Kaye S, Robertson OH, Dunstan EW (July 1950). "Factors of Airborne Antifungal Efficacy of Biguanides and Quaternary Ammonium Compounds Against Cleanroom Fungal Isolates". PDA Journal of Pharmaceutical Science and Technology. 66 (3): 236–242. doi:10.5731/pdajpt.2012.00886 (inactive 19 November 2024). PMC 22634589. PMID 240400887. (cite journal) CS1 maint: DOI inactive as of November 2024 (link) Garcia MR, Cabo ML (June 2018). "Optimization of E. coli inactivation by Benzalkonium Chloride Reveals the Importance of Quantifying the Inoculum Effect on Chemical Disinfection". Frontiers in Microbiology. 9: 1259. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2018.01259. PMC 6028699. PMID 29997577. "Common Cleaning Products May Be Dangerous When Mixed" (PDF). New Jersey Department of Health and Senior Services. Archived (PDF) from the original on 23 March 2016. Retrieved 19 April 2016. "Hospital Disinfectants for General Disinfection of Environmental Surfaces" (PDF). New York State Department of Health. Archived from the original (PDF) on 24 September 2015. Retrieved 19 April 2016. Robertson OH, Bigg E, Puck TT, Miller BF (June 1942). "The Bactericidal Action of Propylene Glycol Vapor on Microorganisms Suspended in Air". The Journal of Experimental Medicine. 75 (6): 593–610. CiteSeerX 10.1.1.273.1031. doi:10.1084/jem.75.6.593. PMC 2135271. PMID 19871209. "For a review through 1952 see: Lester W, Dunklin E, Robertson OH (April 1952). "Bactericidal Effects of Propylene and Triethylene Glycol Vapors on Airborne Escherichia coli". Science. 115 (2988): 379–382. Bibcode:1952Sci...115..379L. doi:10.1126/science.115.2988.379. PMID 17770126. "For a review of the toxicity of propylene glycol, see: United States Environmental Protection Agency (September 2006). Reregistration eligibility decision for propylene glycol and dipropylene glycol (Report). EPA 739-R-06-002. "For a review of the toxicity of triethylene glycol, see: United States Environmental Protection Agency (September 2005). Reregistration eligibility decision for triethylene glycol (Report). EPA 739-R-05-002. Langmuir AD (September 1952). "The Use of Ethylene Glycol in the Control of Air-borne Infections". American Journal of Public Health and the Nation's Health. 40 (5 Pt 2): 82–89. doi:10.2105/AJPH.40.5. Pt. 2.82. PMC 1528669. PMID 15418552. Lester W, Kaye S, Robertson OH, Dunstan EW (July 1950). "Factors of Airborne Antifungal Efficacy of Biguanides and Quaternary Ammonium Compounds Against Cleanroom Fungal Isolates". PDA Journal of Pharmaceutical Science and Technology. 66 (3): 236–242. doi:10.5731/pdajpt.2012.00886 (inactive 19 November 2024). PMC 22634589. PMID 240400887. (cite journal) CS1 maint: DOI inactive as of November 2024 (link) Garcia MR, Cabo ML (June 2018). "Optimization of E. coli inactivation by Benzalkonium Chloride Reveals the Importance of Quantifying the Inoculum Effect on Chemical Disinfection". Frontiers in Microbiology. 9: 1259. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2018.01259. PMC 6028699. PMID 29997577. "Common Cleaning Products May Be Dangerous When Mixed" (PDF). New Jersey Department of Health and Senior Services. Archived (PDF) from the original on 23 March 2016. Retrieved 19 April 2016. "Hospital Disinfectants for General Disinfection of Environmental Surfaces" (PDF). New York State Department of Health. Archived from the original (PDF) on 24 September 2015. Retrieved 19 April 2016. Robertson OH, Bigg E, Puck TT, Miller BF (June 1942). "The Bactericidal Action of Propylene Glycol Vapor on Microorganisms Suspended in Air". The Journal of Experimental Medicine. 75 (6): 593–610. CiteSeerX 10.1.1.273.1031. doi:10.1084/jem.75.6.593. PMC 2135271. PMID 19871209. "For a review through 1952 see: Lester W, Dunklin E, Robertson OH (April 1952). "Bactericidal Effects of Propylene and Triethylene Glycol Vapors on Airborne Escherichia coli". Science. 115 (2988): 379–382. Bibcode:1952Sci...115..379L. doi:10.1126/science.115.2988.379. PMID 17770126. "For a review of the toxicity of propylene glycol, see: United States Environmental Protection Agency (September 2006). Reregistration eligibility decision for propylene glycol and dipropylene glycol (Report). EPA 739-R-06-002. "For a review of the toxicity of triethylene glycol, see: United States Environmental Protection Agency (September 2005). Reregistration eligibility decision for triethylene glycol (Report). EPA 739-R-05-002. Langmuir AD (September 1952). "The Use of Ethylene Glycol in the Control of Air-borne Infections". American Journal of Public Health and the Nation's Health. 40 (5 Pt 2): 82–89. doi:10.2105/AJPH.40.5. Pt. 2.82. PMC 1528669. PMID 15418552. Lester W, Kaye S, Robertson OH, Dunstan EW (July 1950). "Factors of Airborne Antifungal Efficacy of Biguanides and Quaternary Ammonium Compounds Against Cleanroom Fungal Isolates". PDA Journal of Pharmaceutical Science and Technology. 66 (3): 236–242. doi:10.5731/pdajpt.2012.00886 (inactive 19 November 2024). PMC 22634589. PMID 240400887. (cite journal) CS1 maint: DOI inactive as of November 2024 (link) Garcia MR, Cabo ML (June 2018). "Optimization of E. coli inactivation by Benzalkonium Chloride Reveals the Importance of Quantifying the Inoculum Effect on Chemical Disinfection". Frontiers in Microbiology. 9: 1259. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2018.01259. PMC 6028699. PMID 29997577. "Common Cleaning Products May Be Dangerous When Mixed" (PDF). New Jersey Department of Health and Senior Services. Archived (PDF) from the original on 23 March 2016. Retrieved 19 April 2016. "Hospital Disinfectants for General Disinfection of Environmental Surfaces" (PDF). New York State Department of Health. Archived from the original (PDF) on 24 September 2015. Retrieved 19 April 2016. Robertson OH, Bigg E, Puck TT, Miller BF (June 1942). "The Bactericidal Action of Propylene Glycol Vapor on Microorganisms Suspended in Air". The Journal of Experimental Medicine. 75 (6): 593–610. CiteSeerX 10.1.1.273.1031. doi:10.1084/jem.75.6.593. PMC 2135271. PMID 19871209. "For a review through 1952 see: Lester W, Dunklin E, Robertson OH (April 1952). "Bactericidal Effects of Propylene and Triethylene Glycol Vapors on Airborne Escherichia coli". Science. 115 (2988): 379–382. Bibcode:1952Sci...115..379L. doi:10.1126/science.115.2988.379. PMID 17770126. "For a review of the toxicity of propylene glycol, see: United States Environmental Protection Agency (September 2006). Reregistration eligibility decision for propylene glycol and dipropylene glycol (Report). EPA 739-R-06-002. "For a review of the toxicity of triethylene glycol, see: United States Environmental Protection Agency (September 2005). Reregistration eligibility decision for triethylene glycol (Report). EPA 739-R-05-002. Langmuir AD (September 1952). "The Use of Ethylene Glycol in the Control of Air-borne Infections". American Journal of Public Health and the Nation's Health. 40 (5 Pt 2): 82–89. doi:10.2105/AJPH.40.5. Pt. 2.82. PMC 1528669. PMID 15418552. Lester W, Kaye S, Robertson OH, Dunstan EW (July 1950). "Factors of Airborne Antifungal Efficacy of Biguanides and Quaternary Ammonium Compounds Against Cleanroom Fungal Isolates". PDA Journal of Pharmaceutical Science and Technology. 66 (3): 236–242. doi:10.5731/pdajpt.2012.00886 (inactive 19 November 2024). PMC 22634589. PMID 240400887. (cite journal) CS1 maint: DOI inactive as of November 2024 (link) Garcia MR, Cabo ML (June 2018). "Optimization of E. coli inactivation by Benzalkonium Chloride Reveals the Importance of Quantifying the Inoculum Effect on Chemical Disinfection". Frontiers in Microbiology. 9: 1259. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2018.01259. PMC 6028699. PMID 29997577. "Common Cleaning Products May Be Dangerous When Mixed" (PDF). New Jersey Department of Health and Senior Services. Archived (PDF) from the original on 23 March 2016. Retrieved 19 April 2016. "Hospital Disinfectants for General Disinfection of Environmental Surfaces" (PDF). New York State Department of Health. Archived from the original (PDF) on 24 September 2015. Retrieved 19 April 2016. Robertson OH, Bigg E, Puck TT, Miller BF (June 1942). "The Bactericidal Action of Propylene Glycol Vapor on Microorganisms Suspended in Air". The Journal of Experimental Medicine. 75 (6): 593–610. CiteSeerX 10.1.1.273.1031. doi:10.1084/jem.75.6.593. PMC 2135271. PMID 19871209. "For a review through 1952 see: Lester W, Dunklin E, Robertson OH (April 1952). "Bactericidal Effects of Propylene and Triethylene Glycol Vapors on Airborne Escherichia coli". Science. 115 (2988): 379–382. Bibcode:1952Sci...115..379L. doi:10.1126/science.115.2988.379. PMID 17770126. "For a review of the toxicity of propylene glycol, see: United States Environmental Protection Agency (September 2006). Reregistration eligibility decision for propylene glycol and dipropylene glycol (Report). EPA 739-R-06-002. "For a review of the toxicity of triethylene glycol, see: United States Environmental Protection Agency (September 2005). Reregistration eligibility decision for triethylene glycol (Report). EPA 739-R-05-002. Langmuir AD (September 1952). "The Use of Ethylene Glycol in the Control of Air-borne Infections". American Journal of Public Health and the Nation's Health. 40 (5 Pt 2): 82–89. doi:10.2105/AJPH.40.5. Pt. 2.82. PMC 1528669. PMID 15418552. Lester W, Kaye S, Robertson OH, Dunstan EW (July 1950). "Factors of Airborne Antifungal Efficacy of Biguanides and Quaternary Ammonium Compounds Against Cleanroom Fungal Isolates". PDA Journal of Pharmaceutical Science and Technology. 66 (3): 236–242. doi:10.5731/pdajpt.2012.00886 (inactive 19 November 2024). PMC 22634589. PMID 240400887. (cite journal) CS1 maint: DOI inactive as of November 2024 (link) Garcia MR, Cabo ML (June 2018). "Optimization of E. coli inactivation by Benzalkonium Chloride Reveals the Importance of Quantifying the Inoculum Effect on Chemical Disinfection". Frontiers in Microbiology. 9: 1259. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2018.01259. PMC 6028699. PMID 29997577. "Common Cleaning Products May Be Dangerous When Mixed" (PDF). New Jersey Department of Health and Senior Services. Archived (PDF) from the original on 23 March 2016. Retrieved 19 April 2016. "Hospital Disinfectants for General Disinfection of Environmental Surfaces" (PDF). New York State Department of Health. Archived from the original (PDF) on 24 September 2015. Retrieved 19 April 2016. Robertson OH, Bigg E, Puck TT, Miller BF (June 1942). "The Bactericidal Action of Propylene Glycol Vapor on Microorganisms Suspended in Air". The Journal of Experimental Medicine. 75 (6): 593–610. CiteSeerX 10.1.1.273.1031. doi:10.1084/jem.75.6.593. PMC 2135271. PMID 19871209. "For a review through 1952 see: Lester W, Dunklin E, Robertson OH (April 1952). "Bactericidal Effects of Propylene and Triethylene Glycol Vapors on Airborne Escherichia coli". Science. 115 (2988): 379–382. Bibcode:1952Sci...115..379L. doi:10.1126/science.115.2988.379. PMID 17770126. "For a review of the toxicity of propylene glycol, see: United States Environmental Protection Agency (September 2006). Reregistration eligibility decision for propylene glycol and dipropylene glycol (Report). EPA 739-R-06-002. "For a review of the toxicity of triethylene glycol, see: United States Environmental Protection Agency (September 2005). Rereg

ammonium compounds is inactivated by soap. Because of these problems, quats have been replaced by other antiseptics and disinfectants for most purposes. Phenolics Phenol, one of the first effective disinfectants, was the primary agent employed by Lister in his antiseptic surgical procedure, which preceded the development of aseptic surgery. It is a potent protein denaturant and bactericidal agent. Substitutions in the ring structure of phenol have substantially improved activity and have provided a range of phenols and cresols that are the most effective environmental decontaminants available for use in hospital hygiene. Concern about their release into the environment in hospital waste and sewage has created some pressure to limit their use. This is another of the classic environmental dilemmas of our society: a compound that reduces the risk of disease for one group may raise it for another. Phenolics are less "quenched" by protein than are most other disinfectants, have a detergent-like effect on the cell membrane, and are often formulated with soaps to increase their cleansing property. They are too toxic to skin and tissues to be used as antiseptics, although brief exposures can be tolerated. They are the active ingredient in many mouthwash and sore throat preparations. Two diphenyl compounds, hexachlorophene and chlorhexidine, have been extensively used as skin disinfectants. Hexachlorophene is primarily bacteriostatic. Incorporated into a soap, it builds up on the surface of skin epithelial cells over 1 to 2 days of use to produce a steady inhibitory effect on skin flora and Gram-positive contaminants, as long as its use is continued. It was a major factor in controlling outbreaks of severe staphylococcal infections in nurseries during the 1950s and 1960s, but cutaneous absorption was found to produce neurotoxic effects in some premature infants. When it was applied in excessive concentrations, similar problems occurred in older children. It is now a prescription drug. Chlorhexidine has replaced hexachlorophene as a routine hand and skin disinfectant and for other topical applications. It has greater bactericidal activity than hexachlorophene without its toxicity but shares with hexachlorophene the ability to bind to the skin and produce a persistent antibacterial effect. It acts by altering membrane permeability of both Gram-positive and -negative bacteria. It is cationic and, thus, its action is neutralized by soaps and anionic detergents. Glutaraldehyde and formaldehyde are alkylating agents highly lethal to essentially all microorganisms. Formaldehyde gas is irritative, allergenic, and unpleasant, properties that limit its use as a solution or gas. Glutaraldehyde is an effective high-level disinfecting agent for apparatus that cannot be heat treated, such as some lensed instruments and equipment for respiratory therapy. Formaldehyde vapor, an effective environmental decontaminant under conditions of high humidity, is sometimes used to decontaminate laboratory rooms that have been accidentally and extensively contaminated with pathogenic bacteria, including those such as the anthrax bacillus that form resistant spores. Such rooms are sealed for processing and thoroughly aired before reoccupancy. Some risk of infection exists in all health care settings. Hospitalized patients are particularly vulnerable and the hospital environment is complex. The proper matching of the principles and procedures described here to general and specialized situations together with aseptic practices can markedly reduce the risks.